Storm Damage Reduction Project Town of Duck, North Carolina



Coastal Planning & Engineering of North Carolina February 20, 2014

Ken Willson

- Engineering & Design:
 - Beach Profile Surveys September 2013 (Completer)
 - Update Shoreline Change Rates January 2014 (Complete)
 - SBEACH Analysis April 2014 (In Progress)

| | | | H _S | | Т | p | Water Level | | |
|------------------|--------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|---------------|---------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------|
| | Return | Period | (ft.) | | (5 | 5) | NA | (ft. AVD) | |
| | 1 | | 17.6 | | 9. | .9 | | 4 | |
| | 5 | | 21.2 | | 12.9 | | 4 | 4.2 | |
| | 10 | C | 22.7 | | 14 | .2 | 4 | 4.8 | |
| | 20 |) | 24.3 | | 15 | 5.5 | | 5.7 | |
| | 25 | | 24.8 | | 16 | | | 5.8 | |
| | 50 |) | 26.3 | | 17 | '.3 | | 6.2 | |
| | | Me | easured Da | ata | | | | | |
| | | $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{S}}$ | T _p Water Level | | Approximate R | | imate Re | eturn Period (years) | |
| Storm | Date | (ft) | (s) | (i NA | ft. VD) | $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{S}}$ | | T _p | Water Level |
| Perfect Storm | Oct-91 | 15.1 | 22.5 | | 4 | < 1 | | > 50 | 1 |
| Hurricane Isabel | Sep-03 | 27.3 | 15.6 | 5 | .6 | >50 |) | 20 | 10 to 20 |
| Hurricane Irene | Aug-11 | 24.8 | 13.6 | | 3 | 25 | | 5 to 10 | < 1 |
| Hurricane Sandy | Oct-12 | 17.3 | 13.3 | 4 | | ~ 1 | | 5 to 10 | 5 to 10 |

| | Structures Impacted during Storm Event under Existing Conditions | | | | | | |
|---------|--|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| Segment | 1-Year | 5-Year | 10-Year | 20-Year | 25-Year | 50-Year | |
| 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | |
| 3 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | |
| 4 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 8 | |
| 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 7 | 15 | 19 | 23 | 27 | 32 | 36 | |
| 8 | 2 | 6 | 14 | 20 | 22 | 23 | |
| 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 10 | - | - | - | _ | - | - | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | 4 | | | | |

| | Storm Dune | | | В | erm | Depth of | Fill |
|--------|--------------------|------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------------|------------|---------|
| | Width ¹ | Crest | Side | Width ² | Crest | Closure | Density |
| Design | (ft) | (ft, NAVD) | Slope | (ft) | (ft, NAVD) | (ft, NAVD) | (CY/ft) |
| 1 | - | - | - | 50 | 6 | -24 | 55.6 |
| 2 | - | - | - | 100 | 6 | -24 | 111.1 |
| 3 | - | - | - | 100 | 8 | -24 | 118.5 |
| 4 | - | - | - | 100 | 12 | -24 | 133.4 |
| 5 | 20 | 15 | 1V:10H | 100 | 6 | -24 | 124.3 |
| 6 | 35 | 15 | 1V:10H | 100 | 6 | -24 | 129.3 |
| 7 | 20 | 15 | 1V:10H | 75 | 6 | -24 | 96.5 |
| 8 | 20 | 15 | Variable ³ | 100 | 6 | -24 | 120.8 |
| 9 | 20 | 15 | Variable ³ | 75 | 6 | -24 | 93.0 |

¹Width of the storm dune was measured as the horizontal distance from the crest to the intersection of the existing profiles at the +15.0 feet, NAVD contour.

²Width of the berm was measured as the horizontal distance from the crest to the intersection of the existing profiles at the +6.0 feet, NAVD contour.

³The toe of the storm dune extended 20 feet seaward from the +6.0 feet, NAVD contour of the existing profile. Thus, the side slope of the dune was a function of the existing profile.

Table 8. Beach fill designs modeled withSBEACH

| T 7 1 | | | • |
|--------------|------------|-------|------|
| | nerahilitv | A ngi | DIDU |
| | | | |

| | Minumum Design Required for Storm Event | | | | | | |
|---------|---|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| Segment | 1-Year | 5-Year | 10-Year | 20-Year | 25-Year | 50-Year | |
| 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | |
| 3 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 7 | |
| 4 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 7 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 5 | |
| 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 6 | |
| 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| | Construction Volume Required for Storm Event (CY) | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| h (ft) | 1-Year | 5-Year | 10-Year | 20-Year | 25-Year | 50-Year | |
| 8,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 6,000 | - | - | - | - | 508,000 | 508,000 | |
| 2,000 | - | - | - | 363,800 | 116,700 | 198,500 | |
| 4,000 | - | - | - | 443,600 | 443,600 | 443,600 | |
| 2,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 1,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 5,000 | 651,400 | 1,000,800 | 1,000,800 | 1,018,300 | 1,018,300 | 1,157,300 | |
| 8,000 | 609,400 | 609,400 | 609,400 | 1,072,500 | 1,294,900 | 1,334,900 | |
| 2,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 14,000 | - | - | - | - | _ | - | |
| Total: | 1,260,800 | 1,610,200 | 1,610,200 | 2,898,200 | 3,381,500 | 3,642,300 | |
| Total (7 & 8): | 1,260,800 | 1,610,200 | 1,610,200 | 2,090,800 | 2,313,200 | 2,492,200 | |
| | | | | | | | |

- Engineering & Design:
 - Beach Profile Surveys September 2013 (Completer)
 - Update Shoreline Change Rates January 2014 (Complete)
 - SBEACH Analysis April 2014 (In Progress)
 - ► GENESIS Analysis May 2014
 - Develop and Finalize Design Alternatives June 2014 (In Progress)
 - Development of Engineering Report July 2014 (In Progress)



- Borrow Area Investigations and Design:
 - Planning & Permitting March 2014 (In Progress)
 - Preliminary Geophysical Survey and Data Reduction April 2014
 - ► Vibracore Sampling and Analysis June 2014
 - Design Survey and Cultural Resource Survey June 2014
 - Compatibility Analysis and Borrow Area Design September 2014 (In Progress)

- Environmental Permitting and Documentation:
 Development of Preliminary Draft EA July 2014 (In Progress)
 - ► USACE Review of Preliminary Draft EA August 2014
 - Submit Draft EA for Publishing in Federal Registry September 2014
 - ▶ Public Comments October 2014
 - Address Comments and Develop Final EA January 2015
 - ► USACE Review of Final EA and Development of FONSI June 2015
 - ▶ Permits Issued October 2015

- Permits Issued October 2015
- Advertise for Construction Bids November 2, 2015
- Open Bids December 2, 2015
- Review Bids and Seek Approval from LGC
- Award Contract January 2016
- Construction February 2016 February 2017

Volume Changes: 2011 - 2013

• Volume Change Analysis conducted for upper berm and dune portion of the beach



Volume Changes: 2011 - 2013

• Volume Change Analysis conducted for upper berm and dune portion of the beach



Volume Changes: 2011 - 2013

• Volume Change Analysis conducted for upper berm and dune portion of the beach

| Profile Elevation | Volume Change (CY/Ft.) at 9.0 ft. | Volume Change (CY/Ft.) at 10.0 ft. | Volume Change (CY/Ft.) at 11.0 ft. | Volume Change (CY/Ft.) at 12.0 ft. |
|--------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| Segment 10 | -1.3 | -1.8 | -2.1 | -2.3 |
| Segment 9 | -5.2 | -4.4 | -3.7 | -3.7 |
| Segment 8 | -2.9 | -3.3 | -3.5 | -3.6 |
| Segment 7 | -8.4 | -8.2 | -7.8 | -7.3 |
| Segment 6 | -13.2 | -13.0 | -12.7 | -12.3 |
| Segment 5 | -3.8 | -3.3 | -3.3 | -3.5 |
| Segment 4 | -0.2 | -0.2 | -0.3 | -0.5 |
| Segment 3 | -0.6 | -0.5 | -0.5 | -0.6 |
| Segment 2 | -3.8 | -4.0 | -4.2 | -4.3 |
| | | | | |

Thank You!

Questions?

Ken Willson – Kenneth.Willson@CBI.com